

 **Al-Khwarizmi Engineering Journal**

**Al-Khwarizmi Engineering Journal, Vol. 6, No. 2, PP 51- 61 (2010)**

## **Comparison of the RLS and LMS Algorithms to Remove Power Line Interference Noise from ECG Signal**

## **Noor K. Muhsin**

*Department of Biomedical Engineering/ Al-Khwarizmi College of Engineering/ Baghdad University* Email: noorbmemsc81@yahoo.com

(Received 19 July 2009; accepted 31 March 2010)

#### **Abstract**

 Biomedical signal such as ECG is extremely important in the diagnosis of patients and is commonly recorded with a noise. Many different kinds of noise exist in biomedical environment such as Power Line Interference Noise (PLIN). Adaptive filtering is selected to contend with these defects, the adaptive filters can adjust the filter coefficient with the given filter order. The objectives of this paper are: first an application of the Least Mean Square (LMS) algorithm, Second is an application of the Recursive Least Square (RLS) algorithm to remove the PLIN. The LMS and RLS algorithms of the adaptive filter were proposed to adapt the filter order and the filter coefficients simultaneously, the performance of existing LMS algorithm of the adaptive filters cause completely removing of the PLIN comparing with the RLS algorithm that reducing the noise level only.

*Keywords: Filters, adaptive filters, LMS algorithm, RLS algorithm, power line interference noise, ECG signals.*

#### **1. Introduction**

 The most vital informative signals used to diagnose patients are the electrocardiogram (ECG), which is generated from heart activity. The ECG signal, measured with an electrocardiograph, is a biomedical electrical signal occurring on the surface of the body due to the contraction and relaxation of the heart. This signal represents an extremely important measure for doctors, as it provides vital information about a patient's cardiac condition and general health [1]. Generally, the frequency band of the ECG signal is 0.05 to 100Hz, and the ECG signal includes 50Hz power line interference noise[2]. 50Hz power line noise can affect the Q- and Pwaves of the ECG signal, generating errors during the diagnosis of arrhythmia or myocardial infarction. Power line noise can cause errors by distorting the ECG signal during the measurement of the QRS complex interval or the QT interval, which are important parameters in diagnosis. In order to remove 50Hz power line noise, an LMS and RLS adaptive filter can be applied by setting

the notch filter of the 50Hz band or the 50Hzcomponent as a reference signal, so as to adjust the filter coefficient until the error is minimized from the input signal where the 50Hz-component is included [3]. These biomedical signals vary in time and are nonlinear, so the least mean square (LMS, RLS) algorithms of the adaptive filter is mainly used. The LMS, RLS algorithms of the adaptive filter, however, removes noise or obtains the desired signal features by adapting the filter coefficients according to a given filter order; as a result, from time to time, the output error of the filter cannot be minimized in a noisy environment [4]. The algorithms of the adaptive filter were proposed to adapt the filter coefficients simultaneously, thus improving the performance of existing LMS, RLS algorithms of the adaptive filters in processing biomedical signals.

This page was created using **Nitro PDF** trial software. To purchase, go to<http://www.nitropdf.com/>

## **2. ECG Signal, Noise, and Adaptive Filtering Electrocardiography (ECG)**

This is well known as ECG, which is used to measure the electrical activity of the heart. Inside the heart there is a specialized electrical conducting system that ensures the heart to expand and contract (as a pump) this action is produced by a sinoatrial node (SA) located below the right atrium in the heart. SA node is called as pacemaker because it has the ability to initiate electrical pulses at a faster rate of 100 per minute [4]. Figure1 clearly illustrates the SA & AV nodes.



**Fig. 1. Electrical Activity of Heart [4].**

Four waves are detected while measuring the electrocardiography they are P, Q, R, S and T waves as shown in figure 2. An impulse sent from a SA node starts the heart to beat, and then the electrical current will flow down to the lower chambers of the heart or atria and produce the P wave. Then the electrical current will flow down to the lower chambers of the heart or ventricles producing the Q, R and S wave. As the electrical current spreads back over the ventricles in the opposite direction it will produce the T waves [5]. If the rate of the heart is fast then the repolarization will be fast these results shorter the Q-T interval. With slow heart rates, the Q-T interval is longer. The Q-T interval represents about 40% of the total time between the QRS complexes (the R-R interval).



**Fig. 2. PQRST Complex Wave [5].**

## **Noise in ECG**

 During the recording of any signal, it is inevitable that some undesirable signal loosely termed Noise is also picked up such as (PLIN).[6] PLIN consists of 50 Hz fundamental sinusoidal and its harmonics. This type of noise amplitude randomly modeled. The sampling frequency was 200 Hz.[7].

## **Adaptive Filters**

The main purpose of this filter is to change (adapt) the coefficients of an FIR filter to match as closely as possible to the response of an unknown system. The unknown system and the adapting filter process the same input signal and have the outputs. Adaptive filters are self designing filters based on an algorithm which allows the filter to "learn" the initial input statistics and to track them if they are time varying. These filters estimate the deterministic signal and remove the noise uncorrelated with the deterministic signal [8].

The major advantage of these filters is they do not require prior knowledge of the signal or noise characteristics as it is used in normal filters. Adaptive techniques is mainly used in filtering of 50Hz line frequency from ECG signals, enhancing P waves and improves the time duration of QRS wave.

## **2.3.1. Basic Adaptive Filter Structure**

Adaptive technique is widely used in eliminating noise interference.[8] The adaptive impulse correlated filter is considered which requires the primary signal

This page was created using **Nitro PDF** trial software. **52** To purchase, go to<http://www.nitropdf.com/><u>...................................</u>

and a reference signal as an input. In the signal enhancement application, the reference signal consists of a desired signal *s*(*k*) that is corrupted by an additive noise  $n(k)$ . The input signal of the adaptive filter is a noise signal  $x(k)$  that is correlated with the interference signal  $n(k)$ , but uncorrelated with  $s(k)$ . Figure 3 illustrate the configuration of the signal enhancement application[9].



**Fig. 3. Noise Cancellation [10].**

#### **2.3.2. Adaptive Algorithms**

Adaptive algorithms are used to adjust the coefficients of the digital filter such that the error signal,  $e(k)$ , is minimized according to some criterion, for example the least squares sense. Common algorithms that have found widespread application are the least mean square (LMS), the recursive least squares (RLS).[11] They gradually reduce the mean square error between the input signal and some reference signal. The main features that attach the use of the LMS algorithm are low computational complexity, proof of convergence in stationary environment, unbiased convergence in the mean to the Wiener solution, and stable behavior when implemented with finite precision arithmetic<sup>[11]</sup>. It does not suffer from the numerical instability problem inherent in other two algorithms.

#### **A ) LMS Algorithm**

The least mean square algorithm is used to adjust the weights of the adaptive filter in order to minimize the error and to estimate the deterministic component through filter output. This algorithm is well known and can be expressed by the following equation:

$$
w(k+1) = w(k) + 2\mu e(k)x(k) \qquad \dots(1)
$$

Where  $w(k)$  is the weight vector, and  $x(k)$  is the reference input and  $\mu$  is the convergence factor, and  $e(k)$  is the error signal. The selection of the convergence factor μ becomes a trade off between convergence rate and the steady state mean square error.

#### **Adaptive Tapped-Delay-Line Filter**

 In this paper the method of least squares will be used to derive a recursive algorithm for automatically adjusting the coefficients of a tapped-delay-line filter (Adaptive transversal), allow for elimination of noise while maintaining of optimal signal-to-noise ratio for nonstationary process,[blue book] as shown in figure 4, without invoking assumptions on the statistics of the input signals. This procedure, called the recursive leastsquares (RLS) algorithm, is capable of realizing a rate of convergence that is much faster than the LMS algorithm, because the RLS algorithm utilizes all the information contained in the input data from the start of the adaptation up to the present.



**Fig. 4. (Tapped-Delay-line Filter) [9]**

## **2.4.1. The Deterministic Normal Equations**

 The requirement is to design the filter in such a way that it minimizes the residual sum of squares of the error.

$$
J(n) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} e^{2}(i) \qquad ...(2)
$$

The filter output is the convolution sum:

$$
w(k)x(k) \qquad \dots (1) \qquad \qquad y(i) = \sum_{k=1}^{M} h(k,n)u(i-k+1) \quad i = 1,2,\dots,n \qquad \dots (3)
$$

**53** To purchase, go to<http://www.nitropdf.com/>------------------------------------- where  $h(k,n)$  is the filter weight,  $u(n)$  is the input vector

which upon substituting  $e(i) = d(i) - y(i)$ becomes

*M*

$$
J(n) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} d^{2}(i) - 2 \sum_{k=1}^{M} h(k, n) \sum_{i=1}^{n} d(i)u(i-k+1)
$$
  
+ 
$$
\sum_{k=1}^{M} \sum_{m=1}^{M} h(k, n)h(m, n) \sum_{i=1}^{n} u(i-k+1)u(i-m+1)
$$
...(4)

Where  $M \leq n$ ,  $e(n)$  the error of the filter,  $d(i)$ the desired signal, and  $y(n)$  the filter output.

the deterministic correlation between the input signals at taps *k* and *m*, summed over the data length *n*, as

$$
\phi(n;k,m) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} u(i-k)u(i-m) \quad k,m = 0,1,...,M-1
$$
...(5)

Where  $\Phi(n)$  the deterministic correlation matrix of the tap input.

We define the deterministic correlation between the desired response and the input signal at tap *k*, summed over the data length *n*, as

$$
\theta(n;k) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} d(i)u(i-k) \quad k = 0,1,...,M-1
$$
...(6)

 $\theta$ (*n*) the deterministic cross-correlation vector

We define the energy of the desired response as

$$
E_d(n) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} d^2(i) \qquad \qquad \dots(7)
$$

The residual sum of squares is now written as

$$
J(n) = E_d(n) - 2\sum_{k=1}^{M} h(k, n)\theta(n; k-1)
$$
  
+ 
$$
\sum_{k=1}^{M} \sum_{m=1}^{M} h(k, n)h(m, n)\phi(n; k-1, m-1)
$$
...(8)

 We may treat the tap coefficients as constants for the duration of the input data, from 1 to *n.*  Hence, differentiating Eq. (8) with respect to *h*(*k*, *n*), we get

$$
\frac{\partial J(n)}{\partial h(k,n)} = -2\theta(n,k-1) + 2\sum_{m=1}^{M} h(m,n)\phi(n,k-1,m-1)
$$
  
k = 1,2,...M ...(9)

Let  $\hat{h}(k, n)$  denote the value of the *k*th tap coefficient for which the derivative  $\partial J(n)/\partial h(k,n)$ is zero at time *n.* Thus, from Eq. (9) we get

$$
\sum_{m=1}^{M} \hat{h}(m,n)\phi(n,k-1,m-1) = \theta(n,k-1) \quad k = 1,2,...,M
$$
\n...(10)

 This set of *M* simultaneous equations constitutes the deterministic normal equations whose solution determines the "least-squares filter".

Vector form of the least-squares filter

$$
\hat{\mathbf{h}}(n) = [\hat{h}(1, n), \hat{h}(2, n), ..., \hat{h}(M, n)]^T
$$
...(11)

 The deterministic correlation matrix of the tap inputs

$$
\Phi(n) = \begin{bmatrix}\n\phi(n;0,0) & \phi(n;0,1) & \phi(n;0,M-1) \\
\phi(n;1,0) & \phi(n;1,1) & \phi(n;1,M-1) \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
\phi(n;M-1,0) & \phi(n;M-1,1) & \phi(n;M-1,M-1)\n\end{bmatrix}
$$
...(12)

and the deterministic cross-correlation vector

$$
\boldsymbol{\theta}(n) = [\theta(n; 0), \theta(n; 1), \dots, \theta(n; M-1)]^T \quad \dots (13)
$$

 With these definitions the normal equations are expressed as

$$
\mathbf{\Phi}(n)\hat{\mathbf{h}}(n) = \mathbf{\theta}(n) \tag{14}
$$

Assuming  $\phi(n)$  is nonsingular

$$
\hat{\mathbf{h}}(n) = \mathbf{\Phi}^{-1}(n)\mathbf{\theta}(n) \tag{15}
$$

and for the resulting filter the residual sum of squares attains the minimum value [12]:

$$
J_{\min}(n) = E_d(n) - \hat{\mathbf{h}}^T(n)\theta(n) \qquad \qquad \dots (16)
$$



## **2.4.2. Properties of the Least-Squares Estimate**

- 1. The least-squares estimate of the coefficient vector approaches the optimum Wiener solution as the data length *n* approaches infinity, if the filter input and the desired response are jointly stationary ergodic processes.
- 2. The least-squares estimate of the coefficient vector is unbiased if the error signal e(i) has zero mean for all i.
- 3. The covariance matrix (is a measure of the linear coupling between two variables). To calculate the covariance matrix of the leastsquares estimate h<sup> $\hat{ }$ </sup> equals  $\Phi^{-1}$ , except for a scaling factor, if the error vector  $e_0$  has zero mean and its elements are uncorrelated*.*
- 4. If the elements of the error vector are statistically independent and Gaussiandistributed, then the least-squares estimate is the same as the  $M \times M<sup>T</sup>$  maximum-likelihood estimate.[12]

## **2.4.3. The Matrix-Inversion Lemma**

 Let A and B be two positive definite, *M* by *M*  matrices related by

$$
\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{B}^{-1} + \mathbf{C}\mathbf{D}^{-1}\mathbf{C}^T \qquad \qquad \dots (17)
$$

 where D is another positive definite, *N* by *N* matrix and C is an *M* by *N* matrix. According to the matrix-inversion lemma, we may express the inverse of the matrix A as follows:

$$
\mathbf{A}^{-1} = \mathbf{B} - \mathbf{B}\mathbf{C} \left[ \mathbf{D} + \mathbf{C}^T \mathbf{B} \mathbf{C} \right]^{-1} \mathbf{C}^T \mathbf{B} \qquad \qquad \dots (18)
$$

### *B )* **The Recursive Least-Squares (RLS) Algorithm**

The deterministic correlation matrix  $\mathbf{\Phi}(n)$  is now modified term by term as

$$
\phi(n;k,m) = \phi(n-1;k,m) + u(n-m)u(n-k)
$$
  
k,m = 0,1,...,M-1 ...(19)

where *c* is a small positive constant and  $\delta_{mk}$ is the Kronecker delta;

$$
\phi(n;k,m) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} u(i-m)u(i-k) + c\delta_{mk}
$$

$$
\delta_{mk} = \begin{cases} 1 & m=k\\ 0 & m \neq k \end{cases}
$$
...(20)

This expression can be reformulated as

$$
\phi(n;k,m) = u(n-m)u(n-k) + \left[\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} u(i-m)u(i-k) + c\delta_{mk}\right]
$$
  
...(21)

where the first term equates  $\phi(n-1; k, m)$ yielding

$$
\phi(n;k,m) = \phi(n-1;k,m) + u(n-m)u(n-k)
$$
  
k,m = 0,1,...,M-1 ...(22)

Note that this recursive equation is independent of the arbitrarily small constant *c.* Defining the *M*-by-1 tap input vector

$$
\mathbf{u}(n) = [u(n), u(n-1), ..., u(n-M+1)]^T \cdots (23)
$$

we can express the correlation matrix as

$$
\mathbf{\Phi}(n) = \mathbf{\Phi}(n)\mathbf{u}(n)\mathbf{u}^{T}(n) \qquad \qquad \dots (24)
$$

and make the following associations to use the matrix inversion lemma

$$
\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{\Phi}(n) \qquad \mathbf{B}^{-1} = \mathbf{\Phi}(n-1)
$$
  

$$
\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{u}(n) \qquad \mathbf{D} = 1
$$

 Thus the inverse of the correlation matrix gets the following recursive form

$$
\mathbf{\Phi}^{1}(n) = \mathbf{\Phi}^{1}(n-1) - \frac{\mathbf{\Phi}^{1}(n-1)\mathbf{u}(n)\mathbf{u}^{T}(n)\mathbf{\Phi}^{1}(n-1)}{1+\mathbf{u}^{T}(n)\mathbf{\Phi}^{1}(n-1)\mathbf{u}(n)}
$$
...(25)

For convenience of computation, let

$$
\mathbf{P}(n) = \mathbf{\Phi}^{-1}(n) \tag{26}
$$

And 
$$
\mathbf{k}(n) = \frac{\mathbf{P}(n-1)\mathbf{u}(n)}{1+\mathbf{u}^{T}(n)\mathbf{P}(n-1)\mathbf{u}(n)}
$$
 ...(27)

Then, we may rewrite Eq. (25) as follows:

 $P(n) = P(n-1) - k(n)u^{T}(n)P(n-1)$  ...(28) This page was created using **Nitro PDF** trial software. **55** To purchase, go to<http://www.nitropdf.com/>

The *M*-by-1 vector  $\mathbf{k}(n)$  is called the gain vector.

 Postmultiplying both sides of Eq.(28) by the tap-input vector  $u(n)$  we get

$$
\mathbf{P}(n)\mathbf{u}(n) = \mathbf{P}(n-1)\mathbf{u}(n) - \mathbf{k}(n)\mathbf{u}^{T}(n)\mathbf{P}(n-1)\mathbf{u}(n)
$$
  
...(29)

Rearranging Eq. (27) we find that

$$
\mathbf{k}(n)\mathbf{u}^{T}(n)\mathbf{P}(n-1)\mathbf{u}(n) = \mathbf{P}(n-1)\mathbf{u}(n) - \mathbf{k}(n)
$$
...(30)

Therefor substituting Eq. (30) in Eq. (29) and simplifying we get

$$
\mathbf{k}(n) = \mathbf{P}(n)\mathbf{u}(n) \tag{31}
$$

Reminding that  $\hat{\mathbf{h}}(n) = \mathbf{\Phi}^{-1}(n)\mathbf{\theta}(n)$  the recursion requires not only updates for  $\mathbf{\Phi}^{-1}(n) = \mathbf{P}(n)$  as given by Eq. (28) but also recursive updates for the deterministic cross-correlation defined by equation (6) which can be rewritten as

$$
\theta(n;k) = d(n)u(n-k) + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} d(i)u(i-k)
$$
  
=  $d(n)u(n-k) + \theta(n-1;k)$ ...(32)

yielding the recursion

$$
\mathbf{\Theta}(n) = \mathbf{\Theta}(n-1) + d(n)\mathbf{u}(n) \tag{33}
$$

As a result

$$
\hat{\mathbf{h}}(n) = \mathbf{P}(n)\theta(n) = \mathbf{P}(n)[\theta(n-1) + \mathbf{u}(n)d(n)]
$$
  
=  $\mathbf{P}(n)\theta(n-1) + \mathbf{P}(n)\mathbf{u}(n)d(n)$   
=  $\mathbf{P}(n)\theta(n-1) + \mathbf{k}(n)d(n)$ ...(34)

With the suitable substitutions we get

$$
\hat{\mathbf{h}}(n) = \left[\mathbf{P}(n-1) - \mathbf{k}(n)\mathbf{u}^T(n)\mathbf{P}(n-1)\right]\theta(n-1) + \mathbf{k}(n)d(n)
$$

$$
= \mathbf{P}(n-1)\theta(n-1) + \mathbf{k}(n)\left[d(n) - \mathbf{u}^T(n)\mathbf{P}(n-1)\theta(n-1)\right]
$$
...(35)

which can be expressed as

$$
\hat{\mathbf{h}}(n) = \hat{\mathbf{h}}(n-1) + \mathbf{k}(n)[d(n) - \mathbf{u}^{T}(n)\hat{\mathbf{h}}(n-1)]
$$
  
=  $\hat{\mathbf{h}}(n-1) + \mathbf{k}(n)\eta(n)$ ...(36)  
where  $\eta(n)$  is a "true" estimation error defined as

Equations (36) and (37) constitute the recursive least-squares (RLS) algorithm.[12]

## **3. Methodology**

 To verify the algorithms suggested in this paper, the normal ECG signal (down loaded from internet

ftp://ftp.ieee.org/uploads/press/rangayyan/). was added to the PLIN (PLIN consists of 50 Hz fundamental sinusoidal and its harmonics, This type of noise amplitude randomly modeled. The sampling frequency was 200 Hz.[1,2]) then filtered the noisy ECG signals and evaluated the overall error of the signal and the optimal filter order. In this experiment, Matlab package (version 8) was used in writing the programming code of the proposed system and estimate the performance of the adaptive filter, the noisy ECG signal was filtered with two algorithms as shown in the block diagram.



**Fig. 5. Block Diagram of the Proposed System.**

The parameters of the implemented algorithms are as follows:

### **A ) LMS Algorithm**

A notch adaptive filter was used with the aid of the below LMS algorithm specifications: Order of the FIR filter: 2nd

Stability factor or step size parameter  $(\mu)$ , is a convergence factor that controls stability as well as the rate of adaptation,  $\mu=0$  was selected to produce the best adaptation results. Initial weight vector: 0

#### **B ) RLS Algorithm**

RLS algorithm specifications: Order of the FIR filter:  $5<sup>th</sup>$  $λ=0.999$ Initial weight vector: 0

 $\eta(n) = d(n) - \mathbf{u}^{T}(n) \hat{\mathbf{h}}(n-1)$ …(37) This page was created using **Nitro PDF** trial software. .<br>To purchase, go to <u>http://www.nitropdf.com/</u> 

The reference signal of the adaptive filter used these noise signals with sampling frequency of the input and the reference signals are 200Hz.



**Fig.6. Multidimensional Signal-Flow Graph (a) RLS Algorithm (b) LMS Algorithm [12].**

#### **4. Results**

 The filtered results (the outputs of the adaptive filter) are shown in Figures (7-14), where the filter order is converged to minimize the distortion of ECG signal.

By calculating the maximum, minimum, mean and standard deviation for both output curves and errors curves using Matlab programs where (max: Largest elements, min: Smallest elements, mean: the average value of a signal. It is found by adding all of the samples together, and divide by *N*. It looks like this in mathematical

$$
mean = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \mathbf{X}_i
$$

In words, sum the values in the signal, *x* , by letting the index, *i*, run from 0 to *N*-1. Then finish the calculation by dividing the sum by *N*.[13] std: standard deviation the standard deviation s of a data vector X:

$$
std = \left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\mathbf{x}_i - \bar{\mathbf{x}}\right)^2\right)^{1/2}
$$
  
Where  $\bar{\mathbf{x}} = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{x}_i$ 

and is the *n* number of elements in the sample. The two forms of the equation differ only in *n-1* versus n in the divisor.

The results are shown in the following table:





From the above tables to compare between the standard deviation of the (LMS algorithm) output and the (RLS algorithm) output the result shown that (Std1<Std2) that mean deviation of the results from the true curve is smaller in case of LMS than the RLS.

The proposed LMS adaptive filter: Figures 7, 8 shows normal ECG and noisy ECG respectively. Figures 9, 10 and 11 show the experimental results of the LMS adaptive filter's filter of 2<sup>nd</sup> order; after convergence and filtering, the noisy ECG signal was filtered by completely removing to the PLIN as shown in figure 8 with minimum error as shown in Figure 9.

The proposed RLS adaptive filter: Figures 12, 13 and 14 shows experimental results of the RLS adaptive filter of  $5<sup>th</sup>$  order; after convergence and filtering, the output results of the filtration process were reduced the PLIN as shown in figure 12, and more pleasant in the Fourier transform results in figure 13 with minimum error as shown in Figure 14.





**Fig.7. Normal ECG Signals, (a) Normal ECG Signal, (b) Sampled ECG Signal, (c) One Period ECG Signal (d) Amplitude Spectrum of the Normal ECG Signal.**



**Fig.8. PLIN and its Effects on the ECG Signal, (a) PLIN, (b) ECG + PLIN, (c) Amplitude Spectrum of the (ECG+PLIN).**



**Fig.9. Output of the General LMS Adaptive Filter.**



**Fig.10. FT (Output of the General LMS Adaptive Filter, Noisy ECG Signal and Normal ECG).**



**Fig.11. Output Error of the General LMS Adaptive Filter.**



**Fig. 12. Output of the General RLS Adaptive Filter.**





**Fig. 13. FT( Output of the General RLS Adaptive Filter and Noisy ECG Signal).**



**Fig.14. Output Error of the General RLS Adaptive Filter.**

#### **5. Conclusions**

 Biomedical signals play a crucial role in the diagnosis of patients. Two algorithms for the adaptive filters the LMS and RLS algorithms were suggested, as signal changes in time and can be variously mixed with noise depending on the environment and based on the patient's condition. Excessive filtering results in a distorted signal. To verify performance, the PLIN was selected to add to the ECG signal and evaluated the performance of these two algorithms. The LMS adaptive filter is widely used to filter the ECG signal, with better performance in the experiment but the existing RLS algorithm adapt to the environment showing limitations in the given filter, so its convergence and performance cause poor performance, depending on the environment and the patient's condition.

 In the LMS algorithm, the correction that is applied in updating the old estimate of the coefficient vector is based on the instantaneous sample value of the tap-input vector and the error signal. On the other hand, in the RLS algorithm the computation of this correction utilizes all the past available information**.**

 In the LMS algorithms, the correction applied to the previous estimate consists of the product of three factors: the (scalar) step-size parameter  $\mu$ , the error signal *e*( *n-*1)**,** and the tap-input vector  $u(n-1)$ . On the other hand, in the RLS algorithm this correction consists of the product of two factors: the true estimation error  $\eta(n)$  and the gain vector  $\mathbf{k}(n)$ . The gain vector itself consists of  $\Phi$ - $1(n)$ , the inverse of the deterministic correlation matrix, multiplied by the tap-input vector  $u(n)$ . The major difference between the LMS and RLS algorithms is therefore the presence of  $\Phi$ -1(*n*) in the correction term of the RLS algorithm that has the effect of decorrelating the successive tap inputs, thereby making the RLS algorithm *selforthogonalizing.* Because of this property, we find that the RLS algorithm is essentially independent of the eigenvalue spread of the correlation matrix of the filter input.

 Unlike the LMS algorithm, there are no approximations made in the derivation of the RLS algorithm. Accordingly, as the number of iterations approaches infinity, the least-squares estimate of the coefficient vector approaches the optimum Wiener value, and correspondingly, the mean-square error approaches the minimum value possible. In other words, the RLS algorithm, in theory, exhibits zero misadjustment. On the other hand, the LMS algorithm always exhibits a nonzero misadjustment; however, this misadjustment may be made arbitrarily small by using a sufficiently small step-size parameter  $\mu$ .

#### **Notation**



.<br>To purchase, go to <u>http://www.nitropdf.com/</u> This page was created using **Nitro PDF** trial software.

\_



## **Greek letters**



## **6. References**

- [1] R. Aston, Principles of Biomedical Instrumentation and Measurement, Merrill Publishing, pp. 37-255, 1990.
- [2] J. J. Carr and J. M. Brown, Introduction to Biomedical Equipment Technology, Prentice Hall, pp. 168-389, 1997.
- [3] J. S. Sahambi, S. N. Tandon, and R. K. P. Bhatt, "Wavelet based ST-segment analysis," Med.Biol. Eng. & Comput., vol. 36, no. 5, pp. 568- 572, September 1998.
- [4] The 'NURSING STANDARD'- the UK's best selling nursing journal. RCN publishing 2005. From the archives of the journal, Quick reference Guide 4 Electrocardiography. http://www.nursingstandard. Co.uk/archives/ns/residentpdfs/quickrefPDFfi les/Quickref4.pdf
- [5] 'Essortment'- the online website about the information you want to know. In 2005 Pagewiseinc, SouthIH-35, SteQ-11Round Rock, TX 78681. http://nh.essortment.com/whatiselectrc\_okr.ht m
- [6] Kamaran Jamshaid, Omar Akram, Farooq Sabir, Dr. Ismail Shah, and Dr. Jamil Ahmed, "Application of Adaptive and Non Adaptive Filters in ECG signal Processing", IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Engineering , Vol. 39, October, 1992.
- [7] Hrishikesh Gokhale, "Biomedical Digital Signal Processing" ,1995 http://www.ebar.dtu.dk/ http://homepages.enterprise.net/djenkins/ecgh ome.html
- [8] Application Of Adaptive and Non Adaptive Filters In ECG signal Processing by Kamrun Jamshaid, Omar Akram, Farooq Sabir, Dr.Syed Ismail Shah, Faculty of Electronic Engineering, Dr. Jamil Ahmed, Faculty of Computer science and Engineering, GIK Institute of Engineering Sciences and Technology (1999).
- [9] Paulo S.R. Diniz, "Adaptive Filtering Algoriyhms and Practical Implementation", copyright © 2002 by Kluwer Academic Publishers, 2002.
- [10] Elanix, "Adaptive Digital Signal Processing"**,** http://www.entegra.co.uk
- [11] David J. Defatta, Joseph G. Lucas, and William S. Hodgkiss, "Digital Signal Processing: A System Design Approach", copyright © 1988 by John Wiley and Sons, Inc., 1988.
- [12] [12]http://www.it.lut.fi/mat/kesakoulu/yorg on kalvot/adaptive filtering chapter4.ppt# 256,1,Slide 1
- [13] Steven W. Smith, "The Scientist and Engineer's Guide to Digital Signal Proceesing"

This page was created using **Nitro PDF** trial software.

# **المقارنھ بین خوارزمیة (اقل معدل تربیع) و خوارزمیة ( تكرار اقل تربیع) لازالة ضوضاء قدرة الخط ألتداخلي من اشارة تخطیط القلب**

**قور كمال محسن**<br>قسم هند*سة الطب الحياتي/ كلية ال*هندسه *الخوارزمي/ جامعة بغداد* noorbmemsc81@yahoo.com : الالكتروني البرید

#### **الخلاصھ**

الاشارات الطبیه مثل اشارة تخطیط القلب مهمه جدا في تشخیص المرضى و عادة تسجل معها ضوضهلماك الكثیر ر م ن انـ واع الضوضـ اء الذي تسـ جل في البیئه الطبیه مثمل و مند اء قدرة الخـط ألذ داخلي المرش حکیڤ المعتمیر رت للتغل ب علمی ه ذه العیه و است المتعلیفه المتكانه با تغییر ر معه املات المرشح مع اعطاء رتبة المرشح. المھمه الاولى في ھذا البحث ھو تطبیق خوارزمیة (اقل معدل تربیع|)ھھمه الثانیـ a فم الله من هو تطبیـ قخوارزمیـ ة (تكرار اقل تربیع) لازالة ضوضاء قدرة الخط ألتداخلي خوارزمیة اؤل مع دل تربیع) وخوارزمیـ تمّکر رار اقـل تربیـ لهرشـحات المتكیفـه اختیـ رت لتم ىء رتبة المرشح و عوامله بشكل متزامن. اداء خوارزمیة (اقل معدل تربیغ)دي الى ازالة ضوضاء قدرة الخط ألت داخلي نهائیا امقارنه بُه توارزمیه تمرك رار اقال تربیع ) التي تؤدي الى تقلیل مستواه فقط .

This page was created using **Nitro PDF** trial software.

.<br>To purchase, go to <u>http://www.nitropdf.com/</u>